IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

RICHARD A SMITH, JR.,

Petitioner,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:19-CV-115

(GROH)

B.M. ANTONELLI, Complex Warden, FCI Hazelton, F. ENTZEL, Warden, FCI Hazelton and E. GARCIA, Acting Warden, FCI Hazelton,

Respondents.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Now before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Trumble issued his R&R [ECF No. 13] on October 4, 2019. Therein, Magistrate Judge Trumble recommends that the Petitioner's § 2241 petition [ECF No. 1] be denied and dismissed without prejudice.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court must conduct a *de novo* review of the magistrate judge's findings where objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge to which no objection is made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and of a petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28.U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen plus three

days of service. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The R&R was mailed to

the Petitioner by certified mail on October 4, 2019. ECF No. 13. The Petitioner

accepted service on October 7, 2019. ECF No. 14. To date, no objections have been

filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the R&R, it is the opinion of this Court that Magistrate Judge

Trumble's Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 13] should be, and is hereby,

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein. Therefore, the

Petitioner's § 2241 Petition [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITHOUT**

PREJUDICE.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the pro se Petitioner by certified

mail, return receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

DATED: October 31, 2019

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2